

Insert Types

Partial Profile	Full Profile	Semi Topping
<p>Crest radius (additional turning necessary to finish the tread crest)</p> <p>Finished Surface</p> <p>Insert</p> <p>Feed Direction</p>	<p>Crest radius (finished by insert form)</p> <p>Finished Surface</p> <p>Insert</p> <p>Feed Direction</p>	<p>Crest radius (additional turning necessary to finish the tread crest)</p> <p>Finished Surface</p> <p>Insert</p> <p>Feed Direction</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suitable for a wide range of pitches. • Will require an additional operation to complete the thread. • Less recommended for mass production (small radii may cause shorter tool life) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completes the thread in one operation. • Profile is suitable for a specific standard and pitch. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completes the thread in one operation. • Profile is suitable for a specific standard and pitch. • May require an additional operation.

Infeed Methods

There are four main Infeed Threading methods. Choosing the correct one is crucial for achieving best tool life surface finish and chip evacuation.

Radial Infeed	Flank Infeed	Modified Flank Infeed	Alternating Flank Infeed
<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can be used on conventional machines. • Easy to use. • Uniform wear. <p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mediocre chip control. • Not recommended for large pitches. • Increased load on the corner radii. 	<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most recommended method. • Offers good chip evacuation. • For pitches bigger than 1 mm. • Recommended for Trapezoidal profiles. <p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asymmetric wear, wear is constant on one flank. • Cannot be applied on all machines. 	<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offers improved chip evacuation over flank infeed and radial infeed. • Offers good chip evacuation. • Reduced flank wear. • Reduced cutting load. <p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asymmetric wear, wear is constant on one flank. • Cannot be applied on all machines. 	<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uniform wear on both flanks on the insert. • Can improve tool life. • Reduces cutting load. <p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complex programming. • Cannot be applied on all machines.

Threading Depth, Constant Depth or Volume

Constant Depth Pass ($X_1 = X_2 = X_3$)	Constant Volume Pass ($V_1 = V_2 = V_3$)
<p>Advantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Easy to calculate. • Good chip control. • Reduced load on radii. <p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less productive method. • Increased forces at later passes (prone to vibrations). 	<p>Disadvantages</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Longer chip in final pass. • Additional calculations required when changing number of passes.

Number of Cutting Passes for Regular Type Inserts

Pitch in mm	0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	4.0	6.0
Pitch in TPI	48	24	16	12	10	8	6	4
Number of Passes	4-6	5-9	5-12	6-14	7-15	8-17	10-20	11-22

For mini-tools (06IR or 08IR) add 1-3 passes. Increase for hard materials.

Troubleshooting

Plastic Deformation	Premature Wear	Insert Breakage	Build Up Edge	Vibration	Incorrect Thread Profile	Broken Nose During 1st Pass
<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excessive heat in cutting zone • Wrong carbide grade • Inadequate coolant supply • Depth of cut too large • Cutting speed too high • Nose radius too small 	<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cutting speed too high • Infeed depth too small • Highly abrasive material • Inadequate coolant supply • Wrong inclination anvil • Wrong turned dia. prior to threading • Insert is above center line 	<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wrong turned dia. prior to threading • Wrong grade • Poor chip control • Incorrect center height 	<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cutting edge too cold • Wrong grade • Inadequate coolant supply • Incorrect cutting speed 	<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incorrect workpiece clamping • Incorrect tool setup • Incorrect cutting speed • Incorrect center height 	<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unsuitable threading profile • Incorrect center height • Incorrect pitch in the program 	<p>Cause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cutting edge too cold • Depth of cut too large • Wrong grade • Wrong turned dia. prior to threading • Incorrect center height • Infeed depth too shallow • Wrong inclination anvil • Tool overhang tool long
<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce RPM / Reduce depth of cut / Check turned dia. • Use coated grade / Use harder grade • Apply coolant • Reduce depth of cut / Increase no. of passes • Reduce cutting speed • If possible use insert with larger radius 	<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce RPM • Modify flank infeed / Increase depth of cut • Use coated grade • Apply coolant • Reselect anvil • Check turned dia. • Check center height 	<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Check turned dia. • Use tougher grade • Change to M-Type / B-Type inserts and use modified flank infeed • Check center height 	<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase RPM / Increase depth of cut • Use coated grade • Apply coolant • Increase cutting speed 	<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use soft jaws • Check tool overhang / Use anti-vibration bars • Increase cutting speed • Check center height 	<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adjust to correct tool, anvil, and insert • Adjust center height • Change the program 	<p>Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce RPM • Reduce depth of cut / Increase number of infeed passes • Use tougher grade • Check turned dia. • Adjust center height • Change depth of cut • Reselect anvil • Reduce tool overhang / Use Anti-vibration bar